

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

BRIANNA VOGELE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FRANK BISIGNANO, Commissioner of Social
Security;

Defendant.

8:25CV45

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Petition for Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. §2412. The motion will be granted.

DISCUSSION

On February 4, 2025 ([Filing No. 1](#)), Plaintiff filed this suit challenging the denial of her request for social security disability benefits. Upon Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Reverse and Remand ([Filing No. 13](#)), the Court entered an order and judgment reversing and remanding this action pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). ([Filing No. 15](#).) In light of this ruling, Plaintiff now requests that the Court award her attorney's fees and costs totaling \$3,545.22 pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412.

In pertinent part, the EAJA provides:

Except as otherwise specifically provided by statute, a court shall award to a prevailing party other than the United States fees and other expenses, in addition to any costs awarded pursuant to subsection (a), incurred by that party in any

civil action (other than cases sounding in tort), including proceedings for judicial review of agency action, brought by or against the United States in any court having jurisdiction of that action, unless the court finds that the position of the United States was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust.

[28 U.S.C. §2412\(d\)](#). For a successful Social Security claimant to collect attorney fees, the Commissioner's position must lack substantial justification. [28 U.S.C. § 2412\(d\)\(1\)\(A\)](#). Substantial justification occurs when the Commission's position has a clearly reasonable basis in law and fact. [Brouwers v. Bowen](#), 823 F.2d 273, 275 (8th Cir. 1987). The Commissioner bears the burden of proving that their position is substantially justified. [Goad v. Barnhart](#), 398 F.3d 1021, 1025 (8th Cir. 2005.) In this case, the Commissioner moved to remand and reverse this case and does not object to Plaintiff's EAJA motion. The Commissioner has conceded the issue of substantial justification.

As to the amount of the award, a reasonable fee under § 2412 does not exceed "\$125 per hour unless the court determines that an increase in the cost of living or a special factor, such as limited availability of qualified attorneys for the proceedings involved, justifies a higher fee." [28 U.S.C. § 2412\(d\)\(2\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#). In this case, Plaintiff's counsel submitted an itemization of .5 hours of attorney work time in 2024, at a rate of \$251.84 per hour, and 13.3 hours of attorney work in 2025, at a rate of \$257.09 per hour. Plaintiff also submitted Consumer Price Index data. See [28 U.S.C. § 2412\(d\)\(2\)\(A\)](#) (stating that the Court may award fees over \$125 per hour if warranted by an increase in the cost of living); [Johnson v. Sullivan](#), 919 F.2d 503, 504 (8th Cir. 1990) (stating that the Consumer Price Index constitutes proper proof of the increased cost of living and can justify an increased EAJA attorney fee award). The Commissioner filed a response stating he does not object to an award of attorney's fees in this amount ([Filing No. 20](#)), but noted that under [Astrue v. Ratliff](#), [560 U.S. 586, 592-93 \(2010\)](#), the EAJA fee may be subject to offset to satisfy any pre-existing debt that the litigant may owe to the United States.

Based on the Court's Order reversing and remanding this case, and following review, the Court finds Plaintiff is entitled to an EAJA attorney fee award of \$3,545.22 to be paid by the Social Security Administration. Plaintiff is a prevailing party in this matter and otherwise meets the EAJA's statutory requirements. See [28 U.S.C. § 2412\(d\)\(1\)\(a\)](#). The

requested increase in hourly rates from the \$125 baseline is supported by the cost-of-living index submission and both the rate requested and hours expended on this matter are reasonable.

Accordingly

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiff's Petition for Attorney's Fees ([Filing No. 19](#)) is granted.
2. Pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, attorney fees in the amount of \$3,545.22 are to be paid to Plaintiff and delivered to Kappelman Law Firm, less any offset to satisfy a pre-existing debt to the United States. Such award shall be paid by the Social Security Administration.
3. A separate judgment will be entered as requested.

Dated this 22nd day of August, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Susan M. Bazis".

Susan M. Bazis
United States District Judge